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#### Abstract

With dilation 2, congestion 2, expansion $3 / 2$, and load 1 , this short paper first presents a parallel method for embedding a pyramid with height $n, P_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$, into a $(2 n-1)$ - dimensional hypercube, $H_{2 n-1}$, in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time. With dilation 2, congestion $2^{n-t}+3\left(\right.$ or $2^{n-t+1}+2$ ), and load $\left\lceil 2^{2 n-k} / 3\right\rceil$ when $0 \leq k=2 t($ or $k=2 t-1) \leq 2 n-2$, our proposed parallel method is further extended to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}$ with load balancing in $\mathrm{O}(k)$ time.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The pyramid [1] is a well-known parallel network in the field of image processing and pattern recognition [2, 3]. The hypercube is one of the most versatile and popular networks because it can efficiently simulate many different networks [4, 5]. Previously, some one-toone embedding methods $[3,5,6]$ have been presented to map pyramids into hypercubes where one hypercube node emulates at most one pyramid node. With dilation 2, expansion $3 / 2$, and load 1 , Stout [3] presented an embedding method to map pyramids into hypercubes. Because the paths, which are used to emulate the pyramid edges, in the hypercubes are not specified, Lai and White [6] claimed that the congestion in Stout's methods [3] is uncertain. Although Stout [3] did not consider congestion, Monien and Sudborough [5] claimed that the congestion in Stout's method is 2 . With dilation 2, congestion 2, expansion 3/2, and load 1, Monien and Sudborough [5] presented a recursive embedding method to map pyramids

[^0]into hypercubes. With dilation 3 (2), congestion 2 (3), expansion 3/2, and load 1, Lai and White [6] presented one (the other) recursive embedding method to map pyramids into hypercubes.

With dilation 2 , congestion 2 , expansion $3 / 2$, and load 1 , this short paper first presents a parallel method for embedding a pyramid with height $n, P_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$, into a ( $2 n-1$ ) dimensional hypercube, $H_{2 n-1}$, in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time. Not only is the proposed parallel method quite different from those in [6], but it also has smaller dilation and congestion when compared to the first method and the second method presented in [6], respectively. The difference between the proposed embedding method and the two methods in [6] will be discussed in section 3. In practice, we may find that the size of the hypercube is smaller than that of the pyramid. Thus, it is necessary to develop an efficient method to map large pyramids into
smaller hypercubes. With dilation 2 , congestion $2^{n-t}+3$ (or $2^{n-t+1}+2$ ), and load $\left\lceil 2^{2 n-k} / 3\right\rceil$ when $0 \leq k=2 t$ (or $k=2 t-1) \leq 2 n-2$, our proposed parallel method is further extended to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}$ in $\mathrm{O}(k)$ time. Because all the nodes in $P_{n}$ are evenly embedded into $2^{k}$ nodes in $H_{k}$, each node in $H_{k}$ has the same load. This is why the proposed embedding method has the load-balancing capability. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time such a parallel embedding method with load balancing has been proposed in the literature.

The remainder of this short paper is organized as follows. The next section describes some basic definitions and terminologies. Section 3 presents the first parallel method for embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$. Section 4 presents a parallel method for mapping $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}, 0 \leq$ $k \leq 2 n-2$, with load balancing. Finally, some conclusions are dawn in section 5 .

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGIES

We introduce here the pyramid that is being embedded and the hypercube that we are embedding into. We define $P_{h}$ as the $h$-level pyramid with vertex set $V\left(P_{h}\right)=\bigcup_{l=0}^{h-1}\{(l, y, x): 0$ $\left.\left.\leq y, x \leq 2^{l}-1\right)\right\}$ and edge set $E\left(P_{h}\right)=\bigcup_{l=1}^{h-1}\left\{\left(\left(l, y_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(l, y_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right):\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|+\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|=1\right.$ and $(l$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.y_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(l, y_{2}, x_{2}\right) \in V\left(P_{h}\right)=\right\} \cup \bigcup_{l=1}^{h-1}\left\{\left(\left(l-1,\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor\right\rfloor \frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right),(l, y, x)\right): 0 \leq y, x \leq 2^{l}-1\right\}$. Fig. 1 shows a $P_{3}$. For the purpose of exposition, we call the top node in the pyramid or any one subpyramid the apex node. For example, node $(0,0,0)$ in Fig. 1 is the apex node of pyramid $P_{3}$. An $H_{k}$ has $2^{k}$ nodes and $k 2^{k-1}$ edges, where two nodes are linked with an edge if and only if their binary strings differ by exactly one bit. Two terms, binary string and binary number, are interchangeably used in this short paper. Fig. 2 shows an $H_{3}$.

A function $f$ for embedding a graph $G(V, E)$ into a graph $G^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ is a mapping of $V$ $(G)$ into $V^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, combined with a mapping of $e=(u, v) \in E(G)$ into a simple path of $G^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.E^{\prime}\right)$ so that $f(e)=(f(u), f(v))$ is a simple path of $G^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ with end points $f(u)$ and $f(v)$. Commonly, dilation, expansion, congestion, and load are used to evaluate the efficiency of embedding methods. The maximum distance we must stretch any edge to achieve embedding is called the dilation. The expansion denotes the ratio of the number of nodes in the target network to that in the source network, i.e., $\frac{\left|V^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{|V(G)|}$. The congestion is the maximum number of edges of the source network that are embedded and share any single edge of the target network. The load is the maximum number of nodes of the source network that are embedded in any single node of the target network.


Fig. 1. A pyramid with three levels, $P_{3}$.


Fig. 2. A three-dimensional hypercube, $H_{3}$.

## 3. EMBEDDING $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ INTO $\boldsymbol{H}_{2 n-1}$

In this section, we will present an embedding function to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ with dilation 2 , expansion $3 / 2$, congestion 2, and load 1 . The initial concept behind our embedding method is that $P_{2}$ is first mapped into $H_{3}$, then one augmented apex node and four $P_{2}$ 's are mapped into $H_{5}$; continuing this way, after mapping $P_{k}$ into $H_{2 k-1}$, one augmented apex node and four $P_{k}$ 's are further mapped into $H_{2 k+1}$.

Using the binary-reflected Gray codes and the above recursive construction approach, the embedding function $f_{1}$ used to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ is defined as

$$
f_{1}(l, y, x)= \begin{cases}\left(G^{l}(y) G_{0}^{l}(x) 1^{n-l-2}, G^{l}(x) 0^{n-1}\right), & 0 \leq l<n-1 \\ \left(G^{n-1}(y), G^{n-1}(x) G_{0}^{n-1}(x)\right), & l=n-1,\end{cases}
$$

where $(l, y, x)$ is the address of one node in $P_{n}$ and the binary-reflected Gray code $G^{n}(b)=g_{n}$ ${ }_{-1} g_{n-2} \cdots g_{0}$ of an $n$-bit binary string $b=b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_{0}$ is defined as $g_{i}=b_{i} \oplus b_{i+1}, 0 \leq i$ $\leq n-2$, and $g_{n-1}=b_{n-1}$. Here, the symbol $\oplus$ is defined as a bitwise exclusive-or (XOR) operator. The lengths of $G^{l}(x)$ and $G_{0}^{l}(x)$ are $l$ and 1 , respectively, where $G_{0}^{l}(x)$ denotes the least significant bit of $G^{l}(x)$. Note that $G^{0}(x)$ and $1^{0}$ are empty strings, and that $G_{0}^{0}(x)$. The symbols $1^{n-1-2}$ and $0^{n-1}$ denote the strings $\underbrace{11 \cdots 11}_{n-l-2}$ and $\underbrace{00 \cdots 00}_{n-l}$, respectively. $\left(G^{l}(y) G_{0}^{l}(x) 1^{n-l-2}, G^{l}(x) 0^{n-1}\right)$ of $f_{1}$ denotes a $(2 n-1)$-bit string combined by 5 binary strings with length $l, 1, n-l-2, l$, and $n-1$. Using $f_{1}$, embedding $P_{3}$ into $H_{5}$ is shown in Fig. 3, where the lines in $H_{5}$ denote paths emulating the edges in $P_{3}$. The apex node $(0,0,0)$ in $P_{3}$, for example, is embedded into the node $01000(=(01,000))$ in $H_{5}$.


Fig. 3. Embedding $P_{3}$ into $H_{5}$.

In the following three lemmas, we will show that embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ can be done with load 1 , dilation 2, and congestion 2.

Lemma 1. Using the embedding function $f_{1}$, embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ has load 1.
Proof: Suppose any two distinct nodes $\left(l_{1}, y_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(l_{2}, y_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ in $P_{n}$ are embedded into the same node in $H_{2 n-1}$. There are three cases to be considered. For the first case $l_{1}=l_{2}$, by $f_{1}$, we have either $\left(G^{l_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) G_{0}^{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) l^{n-l_{1}-2}, G^{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) 0^{n-l_{1}}\right)=\left(G^{l_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right) G_{0}^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) l^{n-l_{2}-2}, G^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) 0^{n-l_{2}}\right)$, or $\left(G^{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right), G^{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \overline{G_{0}^{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right)}\right)=\left(G^{n-1}\left(y_{2}\right), G^{n-1}\left(x_{2}\right) \overline{G_{0}^{n-1}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)$. Because $\left(l_{1}, y_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(l_{2}\right.$, $y_{2}, x_{2}$ ) are distinct, we know that $G^{l_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) \neq G^{l_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)$ or $G^{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \neq G^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Thus, the two nodes $\left(l_{1}, y_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(l_{2}, y_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ are not embedded into the same nodes, and this is a contradiction. For the second case $l_{1}<l_{2}$ and $l_{2} \neq n-1$, by $f_{1}$, we have the following two equations: $G^{l_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) G_{0}^{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) 1^{n-l_{1}-2}=G^{l_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right) G_{0}^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) 1^{n-l_{2}-2}$ and $G^{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) 0^{n-l_{1}}=G^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) 0^{n-l_{2}}$. It follows that $G^{l_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) G_{0}^{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) 1^{l_{2}-l_{1}}=G^{l_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right) G_{0}^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $G^{l_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) 0^{l_{2}-l_{1}}=G^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Since $l_{1}$ $-l_{2}>0$, we have $G_{0}^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)=1$ and $G_{0}^{l_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)=0$, and this is a contradiction. For the third case $l_{1}<l_{2}$ and $l_{2}=n-1$, two nodes $\left(l_{1}, y_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(l_{2}, y_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ are embedded into two nodes in subcubes $\{0,1\}^{2 n-3} 00$ and $\{0,1\}^{2 n-3} G_{0}^{n-1}(x) \overline{G_{0}^{n-1}(x)}$, respectively. This is a contradiction. As a result, embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ by using $f_{1}$ has load 1 .

Lemma 2. Using the embedding function $f_{1}$, embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ has dilation 2.
Proof: Let the function $\operatorname{dist}(p, q)$ denote the Hamming distance between two binary strings $p$ and $q$. We will first consider the edge linking of any node $(l+1, y, x)$ to its parent node $\left(l,\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right), 0 \leq l<n-1$. By the definition of Gray code, $G^{l}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ is the same as the leftmost $l$ bits of $G^{l+1}(y)$, e.g., $G^{3}(5)=111$ and $G^{4}(10)=1111$. There are two cases to be considered: $0 \leq l<n-2$ and $l=n-2$. The dilations for the two cases, $0 \leq l<n-2$ and $l$ $=n-2$, are given by $\operatorname{dist}\left(f_{1}(l+1, y, x), f_{1}\left(l,\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right)=$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(G_{0}^{l+1}(y), G_{0}^{l}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right)+$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(G_{0}^{l+1}(x), 1\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(G_{0}^{l+1}(x), 0\right) \leq 2 \quad$ and $\quad \operatorname{dist}\left(f_{1}(n-1, y, x), f_{1}\left(n-2,\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right.$. $\operatorname{dist}\left(G_{0}^{n-1}(y), G_{0}^{n-2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(G_{0}^{n-1}(x) \overline{G_{0}^{n-1}(x)}, 0^{2} \leq 2\right.$. We further consider the edges linking any node $(l, y, x)$ to its adjacent nodes $(l, y, x+1)$ and $(l, y+1, x), 0 \leq x, y \leq 2^{l}-1$. When $l=n-1$, it is easy to check that $\operatorname{dist}\left(f_{1}(n-1, y, x), f_{1}(n-1, y, x+1)\right) \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(f_{1}\right.$ $\left.(n-1, y, x), f_{1}(n-1, y+1, x)\right)=1$. The dilations for the case $0 \leq l<n-1$ are given by dist $\left(f_{1}(l, \quad y, \quad x), \quad f_{1}(l, \quad y, \quad x+1)\right.$ ) $=\quad \operatorname{dist}\left(G_{0}^{l}(x), \quad G_{0}^{l}(x+1)\right)+\quad \operatorname{dist}\left(G^{l}(x), \quad G^{l}(x+1)\right) \leq 2 \quad$ a $\quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{d} \quad \operatorname{dist}\left(f_{1}(l, y, x)\right.$, $\left.f_{1}(l, y,+1, x)\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(G^{l}(y), G^{l}(y+1)\right)=1$. We complete the proof.

Before presenting the following lemma, we will first define the full-free node in a hypercube. A node in a hypercube is called a full-free node if no pyramid node is embedded into that node and each edge incident to the full-free node is not a part of the path emulating any pyramid edge.

Lemma 3. Using the embedding function $f_{1}$, embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ has congestion 2 ; there exists a full-free node adjacent to the node emulating the apex in $P_{n}$ along the most significant dimension.

Proof: The congestion 2 is shown by induction on the height of $P_{n}$. For $n=3$, the lemma is true as shown in Fig. 3. There exists a full-free node $(11,000)$ in $H_{5}$ adjacent to node ( 01 , 000 ) emulating the apex in $P_{3}$ along the most significant dimension. For some $n$, assume that the above lemma is true.

Changing $n$ to $n+1$, by $f_{1}$, the four subpyramid $\bar{P}_{n}$ 's with apexes $(1,0,0),(1,0,1),(1,1$, 0 ), and $(1,1,1)$ are embedded into four subcubes $\left(0\{0,1\}^{n-2}, 0\{0,1\}^{n-1}\right),\left(0\{0,1\}^{n-2}, 1\{0,1\}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{n-1}\right),\left(1\{0,1\}^{n-2}, 0\{0,1\}^{n-1}\right)$, and $\left(1\{0,1\}^{n-2}, 1\{0,1\}^{n-1}\right)$, respectively. According to the hypothesis, embedding each $\bar{P}_{n}$ into a $(2 n-1)$-dimensional subcube has congestion 2 . Consider the edges linking the node-pair $\left(l, y, 2^{l-1}-1\right)$ and $\left(l, y, 2^{l-1}\right)$, and linking the nodepair $\left(l, 2^{l-1}-1, x\right)$ and $\left(l, 2^{l-1}, x\right), 2 \leq l \leq n-1$. Two nodes in one node--pair belong to the different $\bar{P}_{n}$ each other. Because $\operatorname{dist}\left(f_{1}\left(l, y, 2^{l-1}-1\right), f_{1}\left(l, y, 2^{l-1}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(G_{0}^{l}\left(2^{l-1}-1\right)\right.\right.$, $\left.G_{0}^{l}\left(2^{l-1}\right)\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(G^{l}(x), G^{l}(x+1)\right)=0+1=1$, the communication paths emulating the edges do not increase the congestion. Therefore, we will only concentrate on edges linking the apex to its four children and linking any two adjacent nodes at level 1 in $P_{n+1}$.

By $f_{1}$, the five nodes $(0,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,1),(1,1,0)$, and $(1,1,1)$ in $P_{n+1}$ are embedded into $\left(01^{n-1}, 0^{n+1}\right),\left(001^{n-2}, 0^{n+1}\right),\left(011^{n-2}, 10^{n}\right),\left(101^{n-2}, 0^{n+1}\right)$, and $\left(111^{n-2}, 10^{n}\right)$, respectively. Following the hypothesis, there exist four full-free nodes $\left(011^{n-2}, 0^{n+1}\right),\left(001^{n-2}, 10^{n}\right),\left(111^{n-2}\right.$, $0^{n+1}$ ), and ( $101^{n-2}, 10^{n}$ ) when four $\bar{P}_{n}$ 's in $P_{n+1}$ are embedded into four ( $2 n-1$ )-dimensional subcubes in $H_{2 n+1}$. After embedding $P_{n+1}$ into $H_{2 n+1}$, the node ( $011^{n-2}, 0^{n+1}$ ) emulates the apex in $P_{n+1}$. The communication pattern for these five hypercube nodes emulating the corresponding five pyramid nodes is shown in Fig. 4, where the lines denote the paths emulating the edges linking two adjacent nodes at level 1 and linking the apex and its children. Obviously, the congestion is also 2 for these eight communication paths.


Fig. 4. Communication pattern for the five hypercube nodes emulating the pyramid nodes at the top two levels.

In addition, the full-free node $\left(111^{n-2}, 0^{n+1}\right)$ is adjacent to the node $\left(011^{n-2}, 0^{n+1}\right)$ emulating the apex in $P_{n+1}$ along the most significant dimension. We complete the proof.

It is easy to verify that the expansion is $2^{2 n-1} /\left(\left(4^{n}-1\right) / 3\right) \approx 3 / 2$. In addition, $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time is sufficient to translate an $n$-bit binary string $b$ for $0 \leq b \leq 2^{n}-1$ (binary-reflected Gray code $G^{n}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ ) to the corresponding binary-reflected Gray code $G^{n}(b)$ ( $n$-bit binary string $b_{n}^{\prime} b_{n-1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{2}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime}=b^{\prime}$ for $b_{i}^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) [7]. By Lemmas 1, 2, and 3, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. With dilation 2, congestion 2, load 1 , and expansion $3 / 2, P_{n}$ can be embedded into $H_{2 n-1}$ in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time.

The advantage of the mapping function $f_{1}$ is that it can be easily computed due to its closed form representation. From the description of the proposed embedding method, it is important that any node with address ( $1, y, x$ ) in $P_{n}$ can be mapped into the corresponding node in $H_{2 n-1}$. That is, our embedding method can be performed in a parallel manner. In contrast, the two embedding methods in [6] used to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ are carried out in a recursive manner. In their first embedding method using the bottom-up approach, Lai and White first map $P_{2}$ into $H_{3}$; then they map one apex and four $P_{2}$ 's into $H_{5}$, and so on. In general, after mapping $P_{k}$ into $H_{2 k-1}$, they further map one apex and four $P_{k}$ 's into $H_{2 k+1}$. The second embedding method presented in [6] uses the recursive top-down approach and is somewhat complicated, so we omit a description due to space limitations. The interested readers are referred to [6].

To summarize, a performance comparison among the previous results $[3,5,6]$ and ours for embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$ is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Performance comparison among the five methods for embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{2 n-1}$.

| method | dilation | expansion | congestion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[6]$ | 3 | $3 / 2$ | 2 |
| $[6]$ | 2 | $3 / 2$ | 3 |
| $[5]$ | 2 | $3 / 2$ | 2 |
| $[3]$ | 2 | $3 / 2$ | 2 |
| this paper | 2 | $3 / 2$ | 2 |

## 4. EMBEDDING $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ INTO $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ FOR $0 \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{n}-1$

This section presents an embedding function used to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}, 0 \leq k<2 n-1$, with load balancing. If $k$ is odd (even), then we let $k=2 t-1(k=2 t)$, where $t$ is a positive integer. Extending $f_{1}$, we will first present an embedding function used to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}$, $0 \leq k=2 t-1<2 n-1$, with load balancing. The embedding function for the case $k=2 t$ will be discussed later. For clarity, suppose $P_{n}$ is partitioned into two parts: the top subpyramid $\hat{P}_{t}$ with $t$ levels and $4^{t}$ attached subpyramids $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's, each with $n-t$ levels. By Theorem 4 , the top subpyramid $\hat{P}_{t}$ can be embedded into $H_{2 t-1}^{n-t}$. The two attached subpyramids $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's can be thought of as a supernode such that these $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's form a $2^{t-1} \times 2^{t}$ mesh which can be embedded into $H_{2 t-1}$ with dilation $1[8,9]$. Based on the above description, the new embedding function $f_{2}$ used to map $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}, 0 \leq k=2 t-1 \leq 2 n-2$, is defined as

$$
f_{2}(l, y, x)= \begin{cases}\left(G^{l}(y) G_{0}^{l}(x) 1^{t-l-2}, G^{l}(x) 0^{t-l}\right), & 0 \leq l<t-1 \\ \left(G^{t-1}(y), G^{t-1}(x) \overline{G_{0}^{t-1}(x)}\right), & l=t-1 \\ \left(G^{t-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2^{l-t+1}}\right\rfloor\right), G^{t}\left(\left(\frac{x}{2^{l-t}}\right\rfloor\right)\right), & t \leq l \leq n-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Using $f_{2}$ with $n=3, k=3$, and $t=2$, embedding $P_{3}$ into $H_{3}$ is shown in Fig. 5. The lines in $H_{3}$ denote with paths emulating some edges in $P_{3}$, with each edge linking two pyramid nodes which are embedded into two different nodes in $H_{3}$. The apex node $(0,0,0)$ and two base nodes $(2,0,0)$ and $(2,1,0)$ in $P_{3}$, for example, are embedded into the node $000(=(0,00))$ in $H_{3}$. The edge linking node 000 and 001 in $H_{3}$, denoted by $\langle 000,001\rangle$, is shared by 6 paths emulating the 6 edges $\langle(2,0,0),(2,0,1)\rangle,\langle(2,1,0),(2,1,1)\rangle,\langle(1,0$, $0),(2,1,0)\rangle,\langle(1,0,0),(2,0,0)\rangle,\langle(0,0,0),(1,0,0)\rangle$, and $\langle(0,0,0),(1,1,0)\rangle$ in $P_{3}$.


Fig. 5. Embedding $P_{3}$ into $H_{3}$.

Based on $f_{2}$, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 5. With dilation 2 , congestion $2^{n-t+1}+2$, and load $\left\lceil 2^{2 n-k} / 3\right\rceil, P_{n}$ can be embedded into $H_{k}, 0 \leq k=2 t-1 \leq 2 n-2$.

Proof: There are three same cases to be considered: embedding $\hat{P}_{t}$ into $H_{2 t-1}$, embedding $4^{t}$ attached $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's into $H_{2 t-1}$, and communicating between the bottom nodes of $\hat{P}_{t}$ and the apexes of these $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's. In the first case, by Theorem $4, \hat{P}_{t}$ can be embedded into $H_{2 t-1}$ with dilation 2 , congestion 2 , load 1 , and expansion $3 / 2$. In the second case, two attached subpyramids $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's are thought of as a supernode such that these $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's form a $2^{t-1} \times 2^{t}$ mesh which can be embedded into $H_{2 t-1}$ with dilation $1[8,9]$. It is easy to verify that this case has dilation 1 , congestion $2^{n-t+1}-2$, and load $\left\lceil\left(2 \cdot 4^{n-t}-2\right) / 3\right\rceil$. For the third case, by $f_{2}$, it is easy to see that all the nodes at the bottom level of $\hat{P}_{t}$ are embedded into the nodes with labeling $\{0,1\}^{2 t-3} 01$ or $\{0,1\} 2^{2 t-3} 10$. As mentioned in the second case, a $2^{t-1} \times 2^{t}$ mesh is embedded into $H_{2 t-1}$. Hence, any bottom node in $\hat{P}_{t}$ and its two children are embedded into the same
hypercube node, say $b_{2 t-2} b_{2 t-3} \cdots b_{2} 01$ (or $b_{2 t-2} b_{2 t-3} \cdots b_{2} 10$ ), and the other two children are embedded into its adjacent node $b_{2 t-2} b_{2 t-3} \cdots b_{2} 00$ (or $b_{2 t-2} b_{2 t-3} \cdots b_{2} 11$ ), $b_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for $2 \leq i$ $\leq 2 t-2$ (see Fig. 3). Thus, it has dilation 1 and congestion 2. As a result, $P_{n}$ can be embedded into $H_{k}$ with dilation $2(=\max \{2,1,1\})$, congestion $2^{n-t+1}+2\left(=2+2^{n-t+1}-2+2\right)$, and load $\left\lceil 2^{2 n-k} / 3\right\rceil\left(=\left(2 \cdot 4^{n-t}+1\right) / 3=\left(2 \cdot 4^{n-t}-2\right) / 3+1\right)$.

Consider the other case, $k=2 t$. The new embedding function $f_{3}$ can be obtained by extending $f_{2}$ slightly, and it is given by

$$
f_{3}(l, y, x)= \begin{cases}\left.\left(G^{l}(y) G_{0}^{l}(x)\right)^{t-l-2} 0, G^{l}(x) 0^{t-l}\right), & 0 \leq l<t-1 \\ \left(G^{t-1}(y) 0, G^{t-1}(x) \bar{G}_{0}^{t-1}(x)\right), & l=t-1 \\ \left(G^{t}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2^{l-t}}\right\rfloor\right), G^{t}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2^{l-t}}\right\rfloor\right)\right), & t \leq l \leq n-1\end{cases}
$$

The three cases to be considered are the same as those in Theorem 5. In the first case, by Theorem 4, $\hat{P}_{t}$ is embedded into one half of $H_{2 t},\left(\{0,1\}^{n-1} 0,\{0,1\}^{n}\right)$. In the second case, each attached subpyramid $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ can be thought of as a supernode such that these $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's form a $2^{t} \times 2^{t}$ mesh which can be embedded into $H_{2 t}$ with dilation 1 , congestion $2^{n-t}-1$, and load $\left.\left\lceil 4^{n-t}-1\right) / 3\right\rceil$. In the third case, each bottom node of $\hat{P}_{t}$ say $\left(t-1,\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$, communicates with its four children, apexes of $\bar{P}_{n-t}$ 's, say $(t, y, x),(t, y, x+1),(t, y+1, x)$, and $(t, y+1$, $x+1)$. These five nodes in $P_{n}$ are embedded into $\left.\left(G^{t-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor\right) 0, G^{t-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \overline{G^{t-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right.}\right)$ ), and $\left(G^{t}(y), G^{t}(x)\right),\left(G^{t}(y), G^{t}(x+1)\right),\left(G^{t}(y+1), G^{t}(x)\right)$, and $\left(G^{t}(y+1), G^{t}(x+1)\right)$. The node $\left.\left(G^{t-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{y}{2}\right\rfloor\right) 0, G^{t-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \overline{G^{t-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor\right.}\right)\right)$ and one of the other four mapped nodes are the same. These four mapped hypercube nodes can be thought as of a ring with length 4. For instance, the five nodes $(2,1,0),(3,2,0),(3,2,1),(3,3,0)$, and $(3,3,1)$ in $P_{5}$ are mapped into the five nodes $(010,001),(011,000),(011,001),(010,000)$, and $(010,001)$ in $H_{6}$, respectively. It is easy to see that both nodes $(2,1,0)$ and $(3,3,1)$ in $P_{5}$ are mapped into the same node $(010,001)$ in $H_{6}$. Therefore, this case has dilation 2 and congestion 2 . Consequently, the embedding has dilation $2(=\max \{2,1,2\})$, congestion $2^{n-t}+3\left(=2+2^{n-t}-1+2\right)$, and load $\left\lceil 2^{2 n-k} / 3\right\rceil$ $\left(=\left(4^{n-t}+2\right) / 3=\left(4^{n-t}-1\right) / 3+1\right)$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 6. With dilation 2 , congestion $2^{n-t}+3$, and load $\left\lceil 2^{2 n-k} / 3\right\rceil, P_{n}$ can be embedded into $H_{k}, 0 \leq k=2 t \leq 2 n-2$.

Based on $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$, this embedding can be accomplished in a parallel manner. Because $\mathrm{O}(k)$ time is sufficient to translate a $k$-bit binary string $b$ for $0 \leq b \leq 2^{k}-1$ (binary-reflected Gray code $G^{k}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ ) into the corresponding binary-reflected Gray code $G^{k}(b)$ ( $k$-bit binary string $b_{k}^{\prime} b_{k-1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{2}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime}=b^{\prime}$ for $b_{i}^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}$ and $\left.1 \leq i \leq k\right)$ [7], we have the following result.

Corollary 7. Embedding $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}$ can be accomplished using the above parallel algorithm in $\mathrm{O}(k)$ time.

## 5．DISCUSSION AND REMARKS

Our major contribution in this short paper has been to present a parallel embedding algorithm which can be used to map large pyramids into smaller hypercubes with load balancing．With dilation 2，congestion $2^{n-t}+3$（or $2^{n-t+1}+2$ ），and load $\left\lceil 2^{2 n-k} / 3\right\rceil$ when $k$ $=2 t($ or $k=2 t-1)$ ，our method can embed $P_{n}$ into $H_{k}$ in $\mathrm{O}(k)$ time．
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